## Lecture 2

## Monopolistic Competition and Optimum

## Product Differentiation

Reference:
Dixit and Stiglitz, 1977, Monopolistic Competition and Optimum Product Diversity, American Economic Review 67, 297-308.
2.1 Pricing Behavior

The utility function for consumers

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u}\left(x_{0}, \boldsymbol{v}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots . . \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Assumption:

(1) A separable utility function with convex indifference surfaces.
(2) $\boldsymbol{V}$ is a symmetric function, thus all commodities have equal fixed and marginal costs.
(3) Commodities with a pair close together have better mutual substitutes than a pair farther apart.
(4) $\boldsymbol{u}$ can be regarded as representing Samuelsonian social indifference curves, or regarded as a multiple of a representative consumer's utility.
(5) Product diversity can be interpreted either as different consumers using different varieties, or as diversification on the part of each consumer.
© The Characteristics of Monopolistic Competition (1)The number of firms is large enough.
(2)The commodities produced by each firm are good substitutes among themselves.
(3) Firms enter the market until the next potential entrant would make a loss.
(4) Each firm has not the dominant power to determine the commodity's price, it is also not as perfect competition market to take the price as given variable (i.e., exogenously determined)

OUtility Function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u\left(x_{0},\left[\sum_{i=1} x_{i}^{\rho}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right) \equiv x_{0}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i) For concavity, we need $\rho<1$
(ii) For allowing a situation where several of the $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ are zero, we need $\rho>0$
(iii) $\rho$ denotes the substitution index among commodities, $\rho \in(0,1)$, it also interprets the preference of diversity commodities for the consumers, the smaller of the $\rho$, implies the consumers prefer to consume the commodities more diversity.
(iv) $\boldsymbol{u}$ is a homothetic function in its arguments.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{x_{0}, x_{i}}{\operatorname{Max}} U\left(x_{0},\left[\sum_{i=1} x_{i}^{\rho}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
& \text { S.t } \quad x_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{I} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Lagrange function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=x_{0}+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}+\lambda\left(I-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} x_{i}-x_{0}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\lambda$ : Lagrange parameter, it denotes the marginal contribution of income to utility.

## OBudget Constraint:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\sum_{\boldsymbol{i}=1}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{I}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{0}}=1-\lambda=0 \\
& \lambda=1
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{i}}= & \frac{1}{\rho}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}-1} \cdot \rho x_{i}^{\rho-1}-\lambda p_{i}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}-1} x_{i}^{\rho-1}=\lambda p_{i} \\
& \Rightarrow\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}} x_{i}^{\rho-1}=\lambda p_{i} \\
& \Rightarrow\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right]^{1-\rho} x_{i}^{\rho-1}=\lambda p_{i}  \tag{2.7}\\
\Rightarrow & {\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right]^{1-\rho} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\rho-1}=\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}} } \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(V)^{1-\rho} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\rho-1}=\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \tag{〔}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { In turn: } \\
& \qquad \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1} \boldsymbol{V}} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Where: $\quad V \equiv\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$
$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}=\frac{1}{\rho-1} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}{ }^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}-1} \boldsymbol{V}$
$=\frac{1 \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\rho-1 ~} V$
$=\frac{1}{\rho-1} \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon & =-\frac{\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}}}{\frac{\partial p_{i}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}}}=-\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial p_{i}} \frac{p_{i}}{x_{i}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\rho-1} \frac{x_{i}}{p_{i}} \frac{p_{i}}{x_{i}} \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\rho}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ the higher $\rho$, the larger $\varepsilon$, it implies the close substitution among commodities, that is , the difference between a pair of commodities become smaller.

O If we assume there are symmetric across the commodities $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$, that is, the consumers buy each commodity with the same amount, then the utility function can be simplified as:

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\
& =\left(\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{x}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\
& =\boldsymbol{n}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \boldsymbol{X} \quad \text { (7.12) } \quad \Rightarrow V_{e}=\ln \boldsymbol{V}=\frac{1}{\rho} \ln \boldsymbol{n}+\ln \boldsymbol{X} \\
& \boldsymbol{V}=\boldsymbol{e}^{V \boldsymbol{e}}=\boldsymbol{e}^{\ln n^{\frac{1}{\rho}}} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

(i) $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{V}}{\partial \rho}=\boldsymbol{e}^{V \boldsymbol{V}} \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{V}_{\boldsymbol{I}}}{\partial \rho}=\boldsymbol{e}^{\ln \boldsymbol{n}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \boldsymbol{x}} \cdot\left(-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}}\right) \ln \cdot \boldsymbol{n}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
=-\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{e}^{2}} \boldsymbol{n}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \ln \boldsymbol{n}<0  \tag{2.14}\\
\text { (ii) } \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{V}}{\partial \boldsymbol{n}}=  \tag{2.15}\\
\frac{1}{\rho} \boldsymbol{n}^{\frac{1}{\rho}-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}=\frac{1}{\rho} \boldsymbol{n}^{\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}>0
\end{gather*}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Given $\boldsymbol{n}$, the smaller $\rho$ implies consumers prefer to consume commodities more diversity, and thus the smaller $\rho$ among commodities, the higher utility level for the consumers enjoying.
$\Rightarrow \quad$ Given $\rho$ the large number of $\boldsymbol{n}$, the higher the utility level can achieved.

Firm's Profit function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left(x_{i}\right)=p_{i} x_{i}-c x_{i}-F \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{x_{i}} \pi\left(x_{i}\right) & \begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \pi\left(x_{i}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} & =p_{i}+x_{i} \frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}-c \\
& =p_{i}+x_{i} \frac{1}{\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial p_{i}}}-c \\
& =p_{i}+x_{i} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\rho-1} \frac{x_{i}}{p_{i}}}-\boldsymbol{c} \\
& =\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}+(\rho-1) \boldsymbol{p}_{i}-\boldsymbol{c}=0 \\
& \rho \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{c} \\
\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{*} & =\frac{1}{\rho} \boldsymbol{c}
\end{aligned} .
\end{align*}
$$

S.O.C $\frac{\partial^{2} \pi}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{2}}=\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}+\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}+\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2 \frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}+\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{2}} \\
& =2 \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}}+\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \frac{\partial^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\rho-1} \frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}}+\boldsymbol{x} \frac{\partial^{2} \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{2}}
$$

$$
=2 \frac{(\rho-1) p_{i}}{x_{i}}+x_{i} \frac{\rho-1}{x_{i}}\left[-\frac{p_{i}}{x_{i}}+\frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial x_{i}}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =2 \frac{(\rho-1) \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}+(\rho-1)\left[-\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}+(\rho-1) \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}\right] \\
& =2 \frac{(\rho-1) \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}+(\rho-1) \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}(\rho-2) \\
& =(\rho-1) \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}[2+\rho-2] \\
& =\rho(\rho-1) \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}<0, \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\partial x_{\boldsymbol{i}}}=(\rho-1) \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{i}}}  \tag{2.19}\\
\frac{\partial^{2} p_{i}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}}=-(\rho-1) \frac{p_{i}}{x_{i}^{2}}+(\rho-1) \frac{1}{x_{i}} \frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \tag{2.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{p_{i}} \pi_{i} \quad \frac{\partial \pi_{i}}{\partial p_{i}} & =x_{i}+p_{i} \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial p_{i}}-c \frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial p_{i}}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow x_{i}+(p-c)\left(\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial p_{i}}\right)=0 \\
x_{i} & =(p-c)\left(-\frac{\partial x_{i}}{\partial p_{i}}\right) \\
& =(p-c)\left(-\frac{1}{\rho-1} \frac{x_{i}}{p_{i}}\right) \\
& =(p-c)\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho}\right) \frac{x_{i}}{p_{i}} \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho}\right) \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{i}-\boldsymbol{c}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}} \\
& (1-\rho)=\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\boldsymbol{c}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}} \\
& (1-\rho) \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}=\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}-\boldsymbol{c} \\
& \boldsymbol{c}=[1-(1-\rho)] \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \\
& \boldsymbol{c}=\rho \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \\
& \boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{*}=\frac{1}{\rho} \boldsymbol{c} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi(x) & =(p-c) x-F \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{\rho} c-c\right) x-F \\
& =\frac{1-\rho}{\rho} c x-F \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

O From the firm's profit function, we know that the smaller $\rho$, then the lower substitution among commodities, and the difference between each pair of commodities is larger, thus the firm can get the higher profit.
$\Rightarrow$ Under the assumption of free entry, then the profit would be zero for the last entrant, thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi(x)=\frac{1-\rho}{\rho} c x-F=0 \\
& \left(\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}\right) c x=F \\
& x^{*}=\frac{1}{c} \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} F \\
& \quad=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \frac{F}{c} \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial x^{*}}{\partial \boldsymbol{F}}=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{c}}>0  \tag{2.25}\\
\frac{\partial x^{*}}{\partial \boldsymbol{c}}=-\frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \frac{1}{c^{2}}<0  \tag{2.26}\\
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial x^{*}}{\partial \rho} & =\frac{(1-\rho)-\rho(-1)}{(1-\rho)^{2}} \frac{\boldsymbol{F}}{\boldsymbol{c}} \\
& =\frac{1}{(1-\rho)^{2}} \frac{\boldsymbol{F}}{\boldsymbol{c}}>0
\end{aligned}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{p}^{*}=\frac{1}{\rho} \boldsymbol{c}  \tag{2.28}\\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{p}^{*}}{\partial \rho}=-\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \boldsymbol{c}<0 \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

(i) the quantity produced by each firm is increasing $\rho$ in and F, but decreasing in C,
(ii) the price charged by each firm is decreasing in $\rho$.

## OUnder the symmetric assumption:

$$
x_{i}=\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}_{i}=\boldsymbol{p} \text { for all } \boldsymbol{i}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{0}+n p x=I  \tag{2.30}\\
& n p x=I-x_{0} \\
& p^{*}=\frac{1}{\rho} c \\
& x^{*}=\frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \frac{F}{c} \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{n p x}=\boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \\
& \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{c}}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \cdot \frac{\boldsymbol{F}}{\boldsymbol{c}}=\boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \\
& \boldsymbol{n}^{*}=\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{F}}(1-\rho)\left(\boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)  \tag{2.32}\\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^{*}}{\partial \boldsymbol{F}}<0  \tag{2.33}\\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^{*}}{\partial \boldsymbol{I}}>0  \tag{2.34}\\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{n}^{*}}{\partial \rho}<0 \tag{2.35}
\end{align*}
$$

2.3 The Dixit-Stiglitz Model of Monopolistic Competition

Assumption:

1. The economy has two sectors: agriculture sector and manufacturing sector.
2. Agriculture sector is perfectly competitive and produces a single, homogenous good.
3. Manufacturing sector produces a large variety of differentiated good.
4. Assume that there are a large number of potential manufactured goods, so many that the product space can be represented as continuous.

## OConsumer behavior

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{A, m_{i}} U=M^{u} A^{1-u}  \tag{2.36}\\
& \quad \boldsymbol{M}=\left[\int_{0}^{n} \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{i})^{\rho} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \quad 0<\rho<1
\end{align*}
$$

s.t. $\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}+\int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathbf{i}) \boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{i}) \boldsymbol{d i}=\boldsymbol{Y}$

Solve:
First stage:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{i})} \int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{i}) \boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{i}) \boldsymbol{d i}  \tag{2.39}\\
& \text { s.t. }\left[\int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{i})^{\rho} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}=\boldsymbol{M} \tag{2.40}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{L}=\int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathbf{i}) \boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{i}) \boldsymbol{d i}+\lambda\left\{\boldsymbol{M}-\left[\int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{i})^{\rho} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}\right\} \\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{i})}=\boldsymbol{p}(\mathbf{i})-\lambda \cdot \frac{1}{\rho}\left[\int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{i})^{\rho} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot \boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{i})^{\rho-1}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j})}=\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})-\lambda \cdot \frac{1}{\rho}\left[\int_{0}^{n} \boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{i})^{\rho} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}-1} \cdot \rho \cdot \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j})^{\rho-1}=0 \\
& \frac{\boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{i})^{\rho-1}}{\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j})^{\rho-1}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\mathbf{i})}{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})} \tag{2.41}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{m}(\mathbf{i})^{\rho-1}=\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j})^{\rho-1} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{i})}{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})} \\
& \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{i})^{1-\rho}=\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j})^{1-\rho} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})}{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{i})} \\
& \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{i})=\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j})\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})}{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{i})}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}  \tag{2.42}\\
& {\left[\int_{0}^{n} \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{i})^{\rho} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}=\left[\int_{0}^{n} \boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j})^{\rho}\left[\frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})}{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{i})}\right]^{\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =m(j) p(j)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\left[\int_{0}^{n} p(i)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} d i\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \tag{2.43}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\boldsymbol{M}=\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j}) \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\left[\int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{i})^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}  \tag{2.44}\\
\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j})=\frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}}{\left[\int_{0}^{n} \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{i})^{\left.\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}} \boldsymbol{M}\right.}  \tag{2.45}\\
\int_{0}^{n} p(j) m(j) d j=\frac{\int_{0}^{n} p(j) \cdot p(j)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}} d j}{\left[\int_{0}^{n} p(i)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} d i\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}} M \\
=\frac{\left[\int_{0}^{n} \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} \boldsymbol{d}\right]}{\left[\int_{0}^{n} \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{i})^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \boldsymbol{M}} \\
=\left[\int_{0}^{n} p(i)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} d i\right]^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}} \cdot M
\end{gather*}
$$

Define price index for manufactured products by $G$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \equiv\left[\int_{0}^{n} p(i)^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} d i\right]^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}}=\left[\int_{0}^{n} p(i)^{1-\sigma} d i\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho=\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}, \quad \rho-1=\frac{\sigma-1-\sigma}{\sigma}=\frac{-1}{\sigma} \\
& \frac{\rho}{\rho-1}=\frac{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}}{-\frac{1}{\sigma}}=-(\sigma-1)=1-\sigma \tag{2.48}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{j}) & =\frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}}{\left[\int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathbf{i})^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}}} \boldsymbol{M} \\
& =\frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}}{\boldsymbol{G}^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}} \boldsymbol{M}} \\
& =\left[\frac{p(j)}{G}\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}} M=\left[\frac{p(j)}{G}\right]^{-\sigma} \cdot M \tag{2.49}
\end{align*}
$$

OSecond stage:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\max _{M, A} U=M^{u} A^{1-u} \\
\text { s.t. } \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{M}+\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{Y} \\
\boldsymbol{L}=\boldsymbol{M}^{\boldsymbol{u}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}^{1-\boldsymbol{u}}+\lambda\left(\boldsymbol{Y}-\boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{M}-\boldsymbol{p}^{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}\right) \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial M}=\mu \frac{U}{M}-\lambda G=0 \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial A}=(1-\mu) \frac{U}{A}-\lambda p^{A}=0  \tag{2.51}\\
\frac{\mu}{(1-\mu)} \frac{\boldsymbol{A}}{\boldsymbol{M}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{G}}{\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{A}}}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{M G}=\frac{\mu}{(1-\mu)} \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \\
& \frac{\mu}{(1-\mu)} \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{Y} \\
& \quad\left(\frac{\mu}{(1-\mu)}+1\right) \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{(1-\mu)} \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{A}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A}=\boldsymbol{Y}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}=\frac{1-\mu}{\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{A}}} \boldsymbol{Y} \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{M}=\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{G}} \frac{\mu}{1-\mu}(1-\mu) \boldsymbol{Y}=\frac{\mu}{\boldsymbol{G}} \boldsymbol{Y} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
m(j) & =\left[\frac{p(j)}{G}\right]^{-\sigma} \cdot M \\
& =\left[\frac{p(j)}{G}\right]^{-\sigma} \cdot \frac{\mu}{G} Y \\
& =\mu \boldsymbol{Y}{\frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})}{\boldsymbol{G}^{-(\sigma-1)}}}^{-\sigma} \quad \boldsymbol{j} \in[0, \boldsymbol{n}]  \tag{2.55}\\
U & =M^{u} A^{1-u} \\
& =\left(\frac{\mu}{\boldsymbol{G}} \boldsymbol{Y}\right)^{\boldsymbol{u}}\left(\frac{1-\mu}{\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{A}}} \boldsymbol{Y}\right)^{1-\boldsymbol{u}} \\
& =\mu^{\mu}(1-\mu)^{1-\boldsymbol{u}} \boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{G}^{-\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{A}}\right)^{-(1-\boldsymbol{u})} \tag{2.56}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ indirect utility function

Under symmetric assumption: $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{i})=\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{j})=\boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{M}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{G} & =\left[\int_{0}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathbf{i})^{1-\sigma} \boldsymbol{d i}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \\
& =\left[\boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{p}(\mathbf{i})^{1-\sigma}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \\
& =\boldsymbol{n}^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \boldsymbol{p}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \tag{2.57}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4 Dixit-Stiglitz Model with Spatial Context

2.4.1 Assumptions
(1) The economy consists of a finite set of location (cities, regions or countries), let R denotes the number of locations.
(2) Assume that each variety is produced in only one location, and that all varieties produced in a particular location are symmetric, having the same technology and price.
(3) We denote the number of varieties produced in location $\gamma$ by $\boldsymbol{n}_{\gamma}$.
(4) We define the mill or f.o.b price of one of these varieties by $\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}$.
(5) Agricultural and manufactured goods can be shipped between locations and may incur transport cost in shipment.
(6) The transport cost between location is specified by "iceberg" form, which is originated by Von Thünen and Paul Samuelson, and it is useful to avoid modeling a separate transportation industry.

### 2.4.2 The Model

## OThe Manufacturing Price

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma s}^{\boldsymbol{M}}=\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma_{s}}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

## where

$\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}$ : denotes that a manufacturing variety produced at location $\gamma$ is sold at price $\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}$ (mill price).
$\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma s}}^{M}$ : is the delivered (c.i.f.) price of that variety be sold at each consumption location $s$.
$\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathcal{\gamma}}^{M}$ : represents the amount of manufactured good dispatched per unit received.
$1 / \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathcal{N}}^{\boldsymbol{M}}$ : implies that the fraction of original a unit of any variety of manufactured goods is shipped from a location $\gamma$, and actually arrive to locations s.
© The manufacturing price index in location s Substituting (2.58) into (2.57), we have "the manufacturing price index" for location s as:

$$
\boldsymbol{G}_{s}=\left[\sum_{\gamma=1}^{R} n_{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M} T_{\mathcal{\gamma}}^{M}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} \quad s=1,2, \ldots \ldots \boldsymbol{R}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ please note that which may take a different value in each location.
$\Rightarrow$ Assume that all varieties produced in a particular location have the same price.

OThe consumption demand in location $s$ for a product produced in $\gamma$,

Substituting (2.58) into (2.55), we have the consumption demand in location $s$ for a product produced in $\gamma$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{m}_{s}=\mu \boldsymbol{Y}_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M} \boldsymbol{Y}_{\gamma_{s}}^{M}\right)^{-\sigma} \mathbf{G}_{s}^{(\sigma-1)} \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

OThe total sales of a single location $\gamma$ for each variety as

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M} & =\sum_{s=1}^{R} \boldsymbol{m}_{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{\mathcal{s}}^{M} \\
& =u \sum_{s=1}^{R} \boldsymbol{Y}_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{\gamma}^{M} \boldsymbol{T}_{\ngtr s}^{M}\right)^{-\sigma} \boldsymbol{G}_{s}^{(\sigma-1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{\ngtr s}^{M} \tag{2.61}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ The total sales for each variety, $\boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}$, depends on income in each location $\boldsymbol{Y}_{s}$, the price index in each location $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$, transportation $\operatorname{costs} \boldsymbol{T}_{\mathcal{\gamma}}^{\boldsymbol{M}}$, and the mill price $\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}$.
$\Rightarrow$ Notice that because the delivered prices of the same variety at all consumption locations change proportionally to the mill price, and because each consumer's demand for a variety has a constant price elasticity $\sigma$, the elasticity of the aggregate demand for each variety with respect to its mill price is also $\sigma$, regardless of the spatial distribution of consumers.

OProducer Behavior

## Agricultural Sector:

The agriculture good is produced only using labor with a constant-returns technology under conditions of perfect competition.

## Manufacturing sector:

The manufacturing good is assumed to involve economics of scale which is arisen at the level of the variety, and the production of a quantity $\boldsymbol{q}^{M}$ of any variety at any given location is specified as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{M}=F+c^{M} q^{M} \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

# Where <br> $\boldsymbol{I}^{M}$ : denotes total labor inputs requirement, <br> $\boldsymbol{F}$ : fixed labor inputs requirement, <br> $c^{M}$ : marginal labor input requirement <br> $q^{M}$ : the quantity be produced for each variety 

$\Rightarrow$ Production technology is the same for all varieties and in all locations.
$\Rightarrow$ The production of manufacturing good is assumed to use labor as input only.
$\Rightarrow$ Because of increasing returns to scale, consumer's preference for variety, and the unlimited number of potential varieties of manufactured goods, no firm will choose to produce the same variety supplied by another firm.
$\Rightarrow$ It implies that each variety is produced in only one location, by a single, specialized firm, so that the number of manufacturing firms in operation is the same as the number of available varieties.

### 2.4.3. The Analysis

OThe profit maximization for each firm

Consider a particular firm producing a specific variety at location $\gamma$ and facing a given wage rate, $\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{M}$, for manufacturing workers there. Then, with a mill price $\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}$, its profit is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\gamma}=\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}-\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{M}\left(\boldsymbol{F}+\boldsymbol{c}^{M} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}\right) \tag{2.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}$ is given by the total sales for each variety at location $\gamma$, which is specified as (2.61).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}} \pi_{\gamma}=\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}-\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{M}\left(\boldsymbol{F}+\boldsymbol{c}^{M} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}\right) \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Each firm is assumed to choose its price taking the price indices, $\boldsymbol{G}_{s}$, as given.

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \pi_{\gamma}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}} & =\boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}+\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}}-\boldsymbol{c}^{M} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{M} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}+\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}-\boldsymbol{c}^{M} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{M}\right) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}}=0 \tag{2.75}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.61), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}}=-\sigma \mu \sum_{s=1}^{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M} \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{M}\right)^{-\sigma-1} \boldsymbol{G}_{s}^{\sigma-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{M} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{M} \\
& =-\sigma \mu \sum_{\boldsymbol{s}=1}^{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma \boldsymbol{s}}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)^{-\sigma-1} \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\sigma-1} \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma \boldsymbol{s}}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \\
& =-\sigma \mu \sum_{\boldsymbol{s}=1}^{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)^{-\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\sigma-1} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \\
& =-\sigma \frac{\mu \sum_{\boldsymbol{s}=1}^{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)^{-\sigma} \boldsymbol{G}_{s}^{\sigma-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{\boldsymbol{M}}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}} \\
& =-\sigma \frac{\boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (2.66) into (2.65), we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}-\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right) \sigma \frac{\boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}}=0 \\
\boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{M}\left[1-\left(p_{\gamma}^{M}-c^{M} w_{\gamma}^{M}\right) \frac{\sigma}{p_{\gamma}^{M}}\right]=0 \\
\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}}{\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}} \cdot \sigma=1 \\
\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}=\frac{1}{\sigma} \boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \\
\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)=\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \\
\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}=\frac{\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}}{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} \quad=\frac{1}{\rho} c^{M} w_{\gamma}^{M} \tag{7.67}
\end{array} \quad \quad \text { (Since, } \quad \rho=\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}\right)
$$

- The Assumption of free entry

We assume that there is free entry and exit in response to profits or losses. Thus, substituting
(2.67) into (2.63), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{\gamma}=\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\left(\boldsymbol{F}+\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \pi_{\gamma}=\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{F}-\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \pi_{\gamma}=\left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}-1\right) \boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{F}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \pi_{\gamma}=\frac{1}{\sigma-1} \boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}-\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{F}=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \pi_{\gamma}=\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{q}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}}{\sigma-1}-\boldsymbol{F}\right)=0 \tag{2.68}
\end{align*}
$$

© The equilibrium output for each firm
From (2.68), we can derive the equilibrium output for each firm (i.e., each variety) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{q}^{*}=\boldsymbol{F}(\sigma-1) / \boldsymbol{c}^{M} \tag{2.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

© The equilibrium labor input
Substituting (2.69) into (2.62), we have the equilibrium labor input as

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{I}^{*} & =\boldsymbol{F}+\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{q}^{*} \\
& =\boldsymbol{F}+\boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\left[\boldsymbol{F}(\sigma-1) / \boldsymbol{c}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right] \\
& =\boldsymbol{F}+\boldsymbol{F}(\sigma-1) \\
& =\boldsymbol{F} \sigma \tag{2.70}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Both $\boldsymbol{q}^{*}$ and $\boldsymbol{I}^{*}$ are constants common to every active firm in all locations, that is, the optimal $\boldsymbol{q}^{*}$ and $\boldsymbol{\iota}^{*}$ are independent of the regions where the firms locate.

○ The equilibrium number of manufacturing firms

If $\boldsymbol{L}_{\gamma}^{M}$ is the number of manufacturing workers at location $\gamma$, and $\boldsymbol{n}_{\gamma}$ is the number of manufacturing firms (i.e., the number of the varieties produced) at $\gamma$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{\gamma}^{*}=\boldsymbol{L}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} / \boldsymbol{I}^{*}=\boldsymbol{L}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} / \boldsymbol{F} \sigma \tag{2.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ The size of market (i.e., the size of consumption demand) affects neither the markup of price over marginal cost nor the scale at which individual goods are produced. [please refer both equations (2.67) and (2.69)]
$\Rightarrow$ All scale effects work through changes in the variety of goods available.
$\Rightarrow$ The Dixit-Stiglitz model implies that all marketsize effect work through change in variety.
(The alternatives ways the economy takes advantage of the extent of the market is by producing at larger scale.)

## OThe Manufacturing Wage Equation

At each location $\gamma$, the total sales for every varietya ${ }_{\gamma}^{M}$ (2.61) is equivalent to the firm produce $\boldsymbol{q}^{*}(2.69)$, thus the following equation is satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
q^{*} & =\mu \sum_{s=1}^{R} Y_{s}\left(p_{\gamma}^{M}\right)^{-\sigma}\left(T_{\gamma s}^{M}\right)^{1-\sigma} G_{s}^{\sigma-1}  \tag{2.72}\\
\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{M}\right)^{\sigma} & =\frac{\mu}{\boldsymbol{q}^{*}} \sum_{s=1}^{R} Y_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{M}\right)^{1-\sigma} \mathbf{G}_{s}^{\sigma-1} \tag{2.73}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (2.67) into (2.73), then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}^{M} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{M}\right)^{\sigma}=\frac{\mu}{\boldsymbol{q}^{*}} \sum_{s=1}^{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{M}\right)^{1-\sigma} \boldsymbol{G}_{s}^{\sigma-1} \\
& \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{M}=\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma^{M}}\right)\left[\frac{\mu}{\boldsymbol{q}^{*}} \sum_{s=1}^{R} \boldsymbol{Y}_{s}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{\gamma s}^{M}\right)^{1-\sigma} \boldsymbol{G}_{s}^{\sigma-1}\right]^{1 / \sigma} \tag{2.74}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.74), we know that

The wage at location $\gamma$ is higher, if
(1) the incomes in the firm's markets, $\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$, are higher,
(2) the firm's access to theses markets is better (lower $\boldsymbol{T}_{\mathcal{S}}^{M}$ )
(3) the firm faces less competition in these markets, from (2.59), we know $\quad\left(\boldsymbol{n} \downarrow \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{G}_{s} \uparrow \Rightarrow \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{M} \uparrow\right)$
© The real wage
From "indirect utility function" (2.56), we (2.75)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{V}_{\gamma}=\mu^{\mu}(1-\mu)^{1-\mu} \boldsymbol{Y}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{G}_{\gamma}^{-\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{A}}\right)^{-(1-\mu)} \tag{2.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\boldsymbol{r}_{\gamma}$ : denotes the nominal income (or wage) in location $\gamma$,
Thus, the real wage of manufacturing workers in location, denoted $\gamma$, is given by $\omega_{\gamma}^{M}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}=\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{G}_{\gamma}^{-\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{A}}\right)^{-(1-\mu)} \tag{2.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathbf{G}_{\gamma}^{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{A}\right)^{1-\mu}$ : define the cost-of -living index,
And if we normalize the price of agricultural good as equal one, $\boldsymbol{p}_{r}^{\boldsymbol{A}}=1$, we can simplify the cost-of -living index as: $\boldsymbol{G}_{\gamma}^{\mu}$
In turn, the real wage and the indirect utility can be rewritten, respectively, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} & =\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{G}_{\gamma}^{-\mu}  \tag{2.77}\\
\boldsymbol{V}_{\gamma} & =\mu^{\mu}(1-\mu)^{1-\mu} \boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{G}_{\gamma}^{-\mu} \tag{2.78}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4.4 The Normalizations and Further Simplification

In order to simplify the previous equations, we can choose units such that the marginal labor requirement satisfies the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{M}=\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma} \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.78) into (2.69), (2.70), and (2.71), we have the results that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{p}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}=\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}  \tag{2.80}\\
& \boldsymbol{q}^{*}=\boldsymbol{I}^{*}=\mu  \tag{2.81}\\
& \boldsymbol{F}=\mu / \sigma  \tag{2.82}\\
& \boldsymbol{n}_{\gamma}=\boldsymbol{L}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} / \mu
\end{align*}
$$

(2.83)

Using equations (2.80)-(2.83), the manufacturing price index $\boldsymbol{G}_{\gamma}$ [shown as in equation (2.59)], and the nominal wage $\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}$ [shown as in equation (2.74)], are given, respectively, by

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{G}_{\gamma} & =\left[\sum_{\boldsymbol{s}=1}^{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\left(\boldsymbol{p}_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{s} \gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right]^{1 /(1-\sigma)} \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{\boldsymbol{s}=1}^{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{L}_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\left(\boldsymbol{w}_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\boldsymbol{M}} \boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{s} \gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)^{1-\sigma}\right]^{1 /(1-\sigma)}  \tag{2.84}\\
\boldsymbol{w}_{\gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}} & =\left(\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma \boldsymbol{C}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)\left[\frac{\mu}{\boldsymbol{q}^{*}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{s}=1}^{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{s} \gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)^{1-\sigma} \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\sigma-1}\right]^{1 / \sigma} \\
& =\left[\sum_{\boldsymbol{s}=1}^{\boldsymbol{R}} \boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{s}}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{\boldsymbol{s} \gamma}^{\boldsymbol{M}}\right)^{1-\sigma} \boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\sigma-1}\right]^{1 / \sigma} \tag{2.85}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ We would use these two equations to examine both "the equilibrium of core and periphery" and "the equilibrium of symmetry", and also to investigate its stability on next chapter.

